

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,822 07/22/2003		Shuichi Mizuno	3831.09	7790	
23308 75	90 06/29/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	NY JONES & SCHMI	NAFF, DA	NAFF, DAVID M		
425 SHERMAN SUITE 230	NAVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PALO ALTO, CA 94306			1651		
			DATE MAILED: 06/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Office Action Summary		10/625,82	<u> </u>	MIZUNO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		David M. I	Naff .	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status			•					
2a)	esponsive to communication(s) filed on his action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ince this application is in condition for all osed in accordance with the practice un	This action is n lowance except	for formal matters	·	e merits is			
Disposition	of Claims							
4a 5)	laim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the applicant of the above claim(s) is/are with laim(s) is/are allowed.  laim(s) is/are rejected.  laim(s) is/are objected to.  laim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and	hdrawn from co						
Application	Papers							
10)□ Th Ap Re	e specification is objected to by the Exace drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) policant may not request that any objection to eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the content or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) borrection is require	ee held in abeyance. ed if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 Cl				
Priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some color None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notice o	f References Cited (PTO-892) f Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 ion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S o(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PT0	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/625,822 Page 2

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

25

## Election/Restrictions

Claims in the application are 1-20.

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a method for repair and restoration of damaged, diseased or aged cartilage, classified in class 424, subclass 93.7.
- II. Claims 10-20, drawn to a method for treatment and regeneration of injured, damaged, diseased or aged articular cartilage, classified in class 424, subclass 423.

The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

The methods of the claims of inventions I and II require different steps and/or conditions such that each method can be performed without the other. The method of invention I requires steps a)-b), whereas the method of invention II requires steps a)-h). The method of invention I is drawn to repair and restoration of cartilage, whereas the method of invention II is drawn to treatment and regeneration of articular cartilage.

20 Examining inventions I and II together will be a serious burden due to different searches and considerations for applying prior art required due to differences in the scope and content of the claims of inventions I and II.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in

Application/Control Number: 10/625,822 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

10

15

20

view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a

Application/Control Number: 10/625,822 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

5

10

15

20

request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David M. Naff whose telephone number is 571-272-0920. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David M. Naff Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1651

DMN 6/23/06